



APA Colorado Board Meeting

MINUTES

Friday January 6, 2017

City of Westminster
4800 W 92nd Ave
Westminster, CO 80031
Council Board Room

The meeting was called to order at 2:07 p.m.

Roll call:

Present:

Michelle Stephens, President
Leah Dawson, Secretary/Treasurer
Nick Vander Kwaak, VP External Affairs
Mark Williams, Southwest Area Representative
Scott Bressler, Metro Representative
Libby Tart Schoenfelder, Metro Representative
Maureen Paz de Araujo, South Central Representative
Paul Rosenberg, Public Official Representative
Susan Wood, Legislative Committee Co-Chair
Eric Heil, Legislative Committee Co-Chair
Anthony Avery, EPP Co-Representative
Julio Iturreria, Western Planner Representative

Absent:

Hillary Seminick, VP of Communications
Tamra Allen, Northwest Area Representative
Seth Lorson, North Central Representative
Sarah Davis, Professional Development Officer
Carey McAndrews, Faculty Representative
Jonathan Cappelli, EPP Co-Representative
Roxanne Borzo Bertrand, Student Representative
Seth Lorson, North Central Representative
Stan Clauson, Past President

Guests:

Allison Crump, Fehr & Peers
Anna Sparks, Thornton Zoning Board of Appeals

Staff: Shelia Booth, Chapter Administrator

1. Opening Remarks – Michelle Stephens, President

Michelle thanked everyone for coming.

Michelle delayed Item 2, Presentation and update on the Plan4Health grant due to computer issues. She moved Item 3.a. Presentation by Sol Malick, Peak Government Affairs up and asked Sol to present to the Board while the IT issues were being resolved.

3. a. Presentation by Sol Malick, Peak Government Affairs

Susan introduced Sol to the Board. She provided a history of Sol's and his father's representation of the Chapter. Sol then presented to the Board. He stated he had been representing APA Colorado since 2006. His father represented the Chapter prior to that, beginning in the 1980s. He explained his role and what he does for the Chapter. He noted that there are 100 legislators and as part of his job, he needs to know everything about them to make connections. He discussed the various "hot topics" that the Chapter has addressed over the past few years.

Sol reviewed the handouts he had provided that included a list of all 35 senators and their defensive and proactive stances. He then highlighted the importance of building political capital. Sol continued the discussion with the importance of working with other allied groups to support or oppose bills. He noted that being on the “offensive” in the process is harder than opposing bills. He concluded that an important aspect of his job is to know what’s happening before it even happens. He should know the vote on a bill before it even hits the floor. Susan and Sol asked the Board if there were any questions.

Paul asked how many organizations Sol represents other than APA Colorado, and if those organizations are similar in philosophy. Sol replied that he represents 15- 20 organizations at any time, including organizations for civil engineers, liquor stores, cities, environmental clubs, solar and wind, etc.

Michelle asked if the Chapter had a legislative policy that is shared with Sol and he replied both “yes” and “no.” He explained that he works with the Legislative Committee to set each year’s agenda based on the survey outcomes and Committee discussion. He gets the list of all bills then flags those that he believes the Chapter will find important.

Susan then addressed the Board regarding the question of Chapter positions on various bills. She stated that the Committee uses previous decisions, good planning practice, the legislative agenda and other information for their decisions. Sometimes if a bill falls outside the norm or is controversial, they will bring the issue to the Board for a vote.

Allison asked if Sol knew what issues would be before the legislature in 2017. Sol said he anticipates bills on water, transportation and construction defects; he noted that the Chapter would also be re-running the water bill from 2016. Susan asked if oil and gas would be back and he said it will continue to come back year after year, but not much will happen because it is such a volatile subject. He said this year there might be something on the eastern plains and the grid. Michelle asked how often the Chapter takes a position on bills and Sol responded that it depends on the issue. He noted that out of the 700 bills that are typically introduced, the Committee tracks between 50-70 and takes a position (support, oppose or monitor) on about half. He said he only actively lobbying for or against on between 10-15 each session.

Michelle said she wanted to make the legislative reports and activity more accessible to the membership. She would like the Chapter and Committee to reach out to our membership and ask them to be more active, but not necessarily through the committee. She would like to encourage them to call or email their representative and Paul agreed. She reiterated that this will bring more recognition to the profession.

Michelle concluded by asking about event planning for Planners Day at the Capital. Susan and Sol reviewed the 2016 event and proposed to get the planning done earlier this year. Shelia noted there were 20 registrants in 2016 with only a week registration notice.

Michelle thanked Sol for coming.

2. Plan4Health Grant Update – Kris Valdez, Eagle County

After Sol’s presentation, Kris and Katie provided an update on the Plan4Health grant in Eagle County. They presented actions to date and discussed upcoming activities. They said they were happy to continue working on their relationships within the community, to create a shared vision and to create more choices after the grant cycle.

3. Legislative Liaison Report & Annual Review – Eric Heil & Susan Wood, Legislative Committee Co-Chairs

Susan reviewed the packet information regarding Sol’s current contract, previous year’s compensation increase and current proposed increase. Eric noted that the 2016 increase of 5%

was not typical and instead was to help catch up on the years when we didn't provide one due to the recession. He concluded that the 5% compensation increase didn't set a precedent or expectation that 5% is the new standard. Susan stated that the recommendation for 3% is based on the cost of living and the Denver/Boulder/Greeley price index, per the current contract.

Motion by Leah to approve a 3% increase

Second by Libby

Discussion: Paul would like the expectations for work product to be higher and we need to see more of the Chapter members involved. He said he works with another lobbyist through his work and that lobbyist does more for his organization than ours does. Although he thinks we should be getting more from Sol, he is not opposed to the increase. Eric stated that information was valuable and he asked Paul if his work's budget was comparable. Paul replied that it was similar, his work's lobbyist works within a larger organization and they manage more than 20 organizations.

Vote: Unanimous

4. Secretary/Treasurer's Report – Leah Dawson, Secretary/Treasurer

a. December 2016 Minutes

Leah introduced the December 2016 minutes. She asked for any comments and Michelle noted the reference to her CML article was incorrect. She is planning a presentation for CML at its summer Conference, not an article. Leah asked for a motion to approve.

Motion by Scott to approve the December 2016 minutes with corrections noted.

Second by Libby

Discussion: None

Vote: Unanimous

b. November 2016 Financial Report

Leah summarized the November and December 2016 financial reports.

She began reviewing the November 2016 financials. She stated that the Chapter assets as of November 30, 2016, totaled \$273,801.65 with a checking account balance of \$185,481.39 and savings balance of \$88,320.26. She noted the difference between 2015 & 2016 was the timing of conference income/outcome and the Plan4Health grant disbursement.

Motion by Scott to approve the November 2016 financial report as submitted.

Second by Libby

Discussion: None

Vote: Unanimous

c. December 2016 Financial Report

Leah summarized the December 2016 financial report. She stated that the Chapter assets as of December 31, 2016, total \$139,717.62 with a checking account balance of \$51,392.86 and savings balance of \$88,324.76. Asset total is up \$358.35 from year end 2015. December had a net loss of \$127,327.36 with \$100,462.31 of that total due to conference expenses and \$30,000 related to the disbursement of the Plan4Health grant money. Total income for December was \$13,805.57 mostly due to the 4th quarter membership rebate of \$12,843.49. December expenses totaled \$111,132.93.

Paul asked if all the conference expenses were all paid and Shelia said yes. He said the yearend looks good and he liked having the "apples to apples" comparison.

Motion by Paul to approve the December 2016 financial report and year end report as presented.

Second by Susan

Discussion: none

Vote: Unanimous

5. Chapter Administrator Report – Shelia Booth, Chapter Administrator

a. 2016 Conference Review

Shelia presented the conference financial report. She stated that the event pulled in \$104,521.38 in registration fees, \$34,015.20 in sponsorships for a total income of \$138,536.58. After paying conference expenses of \$115,788.77, the event had a net income of \$22,747.81. This is slightly above the projected \$20,000 income.

Shelia talked about the more expensive venue, more attendee offerings and areas where higher than average spending occurred.

b. 2017 Conference Planning

Shelia informed the Board that her email solicitation to recruit Local Host Committee members had resulted in four volunteers, possibly five to date. The small group will have its first conference call the week of January 23rd. In the meantime, she will begin updating the sponsorship brochure and email the 2016 sponsors to give them the first right of refusal for the Platinum and Event packages.

A request for an increase in the registration fees and possible sponsorships will be forthcoming in February.

Shelia discussed the historical attendance and income figures with the Board. Paul asked why there was an increase in one-day registrations and Shelia replied that it was most likely due to the location since it was “drivable”. She stated that there was also a local company that would only pay for one-day registrations for their employees.

c. Future Conference Planning

Shelia said she would be researching 2019 conference venues in February. Based on the conference survey, Grand Junction and Aspen/Snowmass were the top two destinations. Two other venues that may be considered are The Gaylord in Aurora and Blackhawk casinos.

d. Bank Change

Shelia said she had begun researching banks. She identified Colorado based banks and credit unions that had branches in Colorado Springs. She then reduced the list based on number of branches and their locations. If the bank/credit union did not have a branch in the Denver Metro area that was convenient to students, that bank was cut. She is currently researching five additional banks/credit unions for more information.

e. 2017 Meeting Dates

Shelia reviewed the current schedule. Libby asked about available meeting dates and Scott said he and Nick would host a Denver meeting.

6. Old Business

a. Candidate & Election Policy Committee Report – Paul Rosenberg, Public Official Representative

Paul said the committee hasn't met but they will start the process up again.

b. Appointment/Reappointment of Public Official Representative – Michelle Stephens, President

Michelle said she did a call for interest, and received interest from four individuals, including Paul. She said she couldn't deny Paul's willingness to serve. She also appreciated Paul's seniority on the Board and his sometimes "devil's advocate" position.

Motion by Libby to approve the Presidential reappointment of Paul Rosenberg as Public Official Representative

Second by Maureen

Discussion: none

Vote: Unanimous

Susan thanked Anna for coming to the meeting and said she hoped she would become more active in the Chapter. Susan added that she hopes to have she was hoping to see her involved in the Legislative Committee. Anna said she came to the meeting to learn more about the Chapter, the Public Official Representative position and to volunteer to fill in as needed.

c. Planners4Health Initiative – Libby Tart-Schoenfelder, Metro Area Representative & Sarah Davis, PDO

Libby provided a short update, noting the award has not been made public yet. Michelle said Rocky is eager to get the press release out and he is still working on identifying the target communities.

7. New Business

a. Ratification of Appointment of Professional Development Officer – Michelle Stephens, President

Michelle Said that Sarah has submitted her resignation from the Professional Development Officer position. Her new job does not provide enough time for her to dedicate to the PDO position. She will provide her final update on the Ethics issue at the February meeting.

Sarah recommended Allison Crump to fill her vacancy. Allison said she was ready and eager to take on the job. Michelle noted that Allison was previously on the Professional Development Committee and has already begun working with Sarah to take over the job. Shelia noted that the recommendation will be that Allison takes over officially in February after Sarah's last presentation to the Board.

Motion by Susan to appoint Allison Crump as the Professional Development Officer, effective after the February 10, 2017 Board meeting.

Second by Leah

Discussion: none

Vote: Unanimous

8. Committee Updates:

a. APAS Student Committee – Roxanne Borzo Bertrand, Student Representative

No report.

b. Emerging Planning Professional – Anthony Avery & Jonathan Cappelli, Committee Co-Chairs

Anthony said EPP focused last year on partnering with outside organizations to build relationships and they will continue that effort in 2017. They are planning a co-hosted event with the Metro Area Representatives to tour and discuss the Stanley market place in Aurora in late January. The event will be held at a brewery and they are working to coordinate calendars with the presenters. The presentation will focus on how to get an adaptive reuse project up and running. EPP will then partner with APAS and MURPA on a transition program

for graduating students to prepare for the next stage in their career. He said they will begin with a resume workshop in February, then job hunting/mock interview workshops in March and April. He concluded that EPP is planning a documentary viewing and discussion event in the spring and are ready to start working on the tactical urbanism event in the summer.

c. **Legislative Committee – Eric Heil & Susan Wood, Committee Co-Chairs**

Eric and Susan said they didn't have anything additional to report. Susan said the Committee's meetings will be finalized once the legislative session begins. If the session starts on the 11th, then the first meeting will be held the first Monday following. Susan felt in-person meetings were always better but there are participants all over the state who will need to call in. Shelia asked if they could meet at Sol's office and then use GoToMeeting and Susan said that might work since his office is right across from the Capital.

d. **Outreach & Communications Committee –Jessica Ibanez, and Hillary Seminick, Committee Co-Chairs**

Nick said they confirmed the new themes for the newsletter at their last meeting. The call for articles has gone out and Shelia said we have not received any yet. Michelle asked about the Federal Blvd. health assessment and Susan suggested contacting Gretchen Armijo, City of Denver. Nick then said they are working on the communication plan and social media plan. They will both try to post once or twice a week. He said the draft plans may be ready in March.

e. **PIC Review Committee, Eric Heil**

No report.

f. **Professional Development Committee – Sarah Davis, Committee Chair**

Allison informed the Board that she was working with Shelia on the conference call for sessions. The goal is to distribute the call in February. She said she would also be working on the AICP Exam Prep sometime in March.

g. **Sustainability Committee – Libby Tart Schoenfelder, Board Liaison**

Libby said they did not meet in December and will revamp in January.

h. **Youth in Planning – Michelle Stephens, Board Liaison**

Michelle said the committee didn't meet last week and are looking for a new committee chair. The group was using basecamp and the free trial ran out. Its \$1000 a year or \$99 a month, so she will see if we can utilize APA National's account. Libby said she and Scott had both discussed doing a Family APA event in the summer.

9. Board Member Updates:

Libby said there is a Westminster presentation Monday on The Art of Presentation.

Shelia mentioned the national website revision to incorporate chapters.

Michelle distributed a draft of the strategic plan and said she had been working it with a student volunteer. She stated the graphically pleasing draft of the Strategic Plan and Action Plan does not include the assignment and deadline information. The draft provided would be accompanied by a more in-depth document at some point. She asked for the Board to review and send her comments.

10. Other Business

Susan said the APA winter leadership meeting is scheduled for February 8th in San Antonio. She asked the Board to send her any thoughts, questions or comments.

Libby asked about the event budget regarding the upcoming Stanley event with EPP and how to secure funding. Shelia reviewed the financial policy, noting anything over \$100 must get Board approval. Libby elaborated on the venue and the presentation.

Michelle showed the Board the generic APA Colorado Executive Board business cards. Michelle said she would like to also have name badges.

Meeting ended at 4:08 p.m.

Motion by Scott to adjourn.

Second by Leah

Discussion: None

Vote: Unanimous

Attachments:

- Email vote 1-30-17
- Legislative Liaison handouts
- Presentation by Plan4Health/Eagle County

APPROVED 2-10-2017

Email Vote- January 30, 2017:

The Planners4Health initiative Advisory Committee (Libby, Susan, Michelle, Rocky & Shelia) met last Friday afternoon. Rocky informed the group that the University's policy on grant funding is that work cannot begin until at least half of the grant funding has been provided. As of this morning, the grant funds have not been deposited in the Chapter's account.

Since this initiative is only six months long, we'd like to get the project moving forward soon. The Committee decided it to seek Board approval to front \$21,000 to the University and Colorado Center for Sustainable Urbanism out of the Chapter's current checking account. We have sufficient funds to cover this expenditure until we receive the grant money from APA. This will allow CCSU to begin working immediately. We anticipate the funds will be deposited to our Chapter account within the month. The current checking account balance is \$57,660.69 with anticipated bill payments in February to reduce this amount to around \$51,000.

If this is acceptable, I am asking for a motion, second and favorable vote to approve an expenditure of \$21,000 to Colorado Center for Sustainable Urbanism/UC Denver for the Planners4Health initiative grant with funds to be recouped from the actual grant deposit from APA. -

Shelia Booth

Motion by Paul to approve an expenditure of \$21,000 to Colorado Center for Sustainable Urbanism/UC Denver for the Planners4Health initiative grant with funds to be recouped from the actual grant deposit from APA

Second by Seth

Discussion: Tamra and Nick requested that the payment not be made until an executed MOU/Contract is finished.

Paul & Seth accepted the addition to the motion.

Vote in Favor: Paul, Seth, Stan, Maureen, Susan/Eric, Nick, Michelle, Tamra, Scott, Leah, Libby and Hillary

Motion passes.

Attachment A: Legislative Liaison handouts

Proactive Legislation Battle Plan From the Desk of Solomon Malick Peak Government Affairs LTD.

Phase 1- Policy Creation, Language Structuring, and Stakeholder outreach (coalition building)

- Policy ideas must be fully vetted and agreed upon by the board of directors, legislative committee, and other pertinent committees.
- Once a policy goal is approved, language specific to the policy must be assessed and proper revised statutes reviewed. If statute does not address the issue, language is to be created and agreed upon by the instigating entity, in this case The City of Cripple Creek (CCC).
- Stakeholders who are either directly or indirectly affected must be contacted and met with to gauge initial support or opposition. Feedback from stakeholders is crucial in all coalition building efforts with no entity left out of the holistic process. This step can make or break any legislative effort so a thorough stakeholder process is key.

Phase 2- Sponsorship, Drafting, and Fact Sheet Creation

- After an in depth stakeholder process has taken place and all feedback has been incorporated into the language, a very tailored and strategic sponsorship search ensues. In order to maximize the chances of success for a proactive legislative effort, there are countless political and process dynamics that must be considered:
 - o What chamber should the bill start in?
 - o What party is in control of both houses of the legislature?
 - o What party affiliation is the sitting Governor?
 - o Who, geographically, is the strongest legislator regarding constituent support?
 - o What committees does the prospective sponsor sit on or chair?
 - o How does leadership in both chambers feel about prospective sponsors and their party affiliation?
 - o Does the prospective sponsor sit in a contentious district or have a tough election year coming up?
 - o These are all just examples of possible scenarios we have to consider in order to choose the optimal sponsor.
- Once sponsors have been identified, approached and secured, the drafting process begins. This step takes the prime sponsors in the chamber of origination giving permission to legislative legal services (LLS) to begin working with the interested parties i.e. lobbyists, executive directors, legal council, etc. This step includes the setting of a title that will stick with the introduced bill throughout the entire legislative and administrative process and is a very short explanation of the bill. A title can be narrowed, but never expanded once it is set.
- Non-partisan staff attorneys in LLS begin the drafting process by making sure the language conforms with Colorado Revised Statutes (CRS) and the Colorado Constitution. An initial draft is submitted back to the instigating entity and sponsor for review and alteration. This draft is proprietary information which means no other legislators, lobbyists, or partisan staff has access to it outside of those given permission to work on the bill and the sponsors.
- Once the draft is approved by all parties, the instigating party (CCC) formulates a one page information sheet (fact sheet) that will serve as the primary talking point document throughout the process. The fact sheet is integral to the success of a bill because it must distill down complex information into a digestible format that can be explained in under 2 minutes.
- One important aspect to remember is the client is the expert in the policy and content of the legislation. That is why the client is the appropriate conduit for creation of the fact sheet. The lobbyist is the expert on the complicated process and political dynamics involved and will understand best how to utilize the fact sheet information provided by the client.

Phase 3- Introduction, Committee, and Floor Vote Counts

- When the bill is ready for introduction it is “sent upstairs” from LLS to the chamber in which it will be introduced and given a bill number. This step is technically the “first reading” of the bill and is literally read and given a committee assignment.
- Committee assignments are one of the most important parts of the legislative process. This is also where political capital comes into play. Leadership (the President of the Senate or the Speaker of the House) ultimately decides where the bill will be assigned. Once a request for a specific committee is made to leadership, political capital is beginning to be spent which is important because it’s a finite resource or currency.

- When requesting a specific committee, the active lobbyist will have counted the votes prior to introduction ensuring the passage of the bill out of that committee.
- Testimony provided by the instigating party is a crucial component during the committee hearing(s). The lobbyist will assist in the formulation of testimony.
- After passage out of committee, the bill then goes to the committee of the whole meaning all 65 house members or all 35 senate members and is ready for “second reading”. Before the second reading takes place, the lobbyist will have counted and secured at least 33 votes in the house or 18 votes in the senate (majorities of 65 and 35) depending on which chamber the bill is moving through. This vote is a voice vote and where the majority of floor debate takes place.
- Once a second reading vote is taken and the bill has passed, a “third reading” vote is taken and is recorded. This is where the lobbyist finds out who stayed with them and who did not. If 33 recorded votes are achieved in the house or 18 votes are achieved in the senate the bill moves over to the other chamber and goes through the exact same process while keeping the bill number from the chamber of origin.
- If adequate votes are achieved in both chambers the bill is sent to the Governor’s desk for consideration. Conversations with the Governor’s office will have taken place throughout the process ensuring success. The Governor has three options when a bill arrives on his desk:
 - o Veto- Kills the bill
 - o Signature- Passes the bill
 - o No action- The bill automatically becomes law in 10 days

Defensive Legislation Battle Plan

Different than a proactive battle plan, a solid defensive strategy is sometimes just as, if not more important to the well being of any client. Instead of a phased process, this is a much simpler and less complex approach. It is far easier for a legislator to say no than it is for them to say yes so the advantage innately lies with the opponents.

Scenario- A bill is introduced, whether the client is aware or not, and opposition is natural and vehement.

If the client doesn’t know the bill is coming:

- There should already be a basic understanding of why any bill that threatens the client’s interests should be opposed. Arguments against the bill should revolve around process, policy concerns, and political implications. In addition, if the bill is dead on arrival in either the first or second chamber, political capital should be assessed and allotted accordingly. If the lobbyist believes the bill has a good chance at passing either chamber, political capital may need to be utilized much more aggressively. These efforts could include:
 - o Grassroots mobilization
 - o Coalition construction
 - o Intensive data research and messaging formation
 - o Political cover strategy for legislators uncomfortable with voting no
 - o Clear, concise arguments easy for legislators to understand and digest
 - o Tangible impact assessment if the bill passes. Making up or giving false information for what “might” happen does not build strength or momentum
 - o Carefully chosen legislator champions who can work the chamber and carry the client’s message
 - o Coordinated and reliable vote counting
 - o Leadership conversations should be taking place on a regular basis
 - o Conversations with the Governor’s office should be taking place on a regular basis
 - o Fact sheet creation

If the client does know the bill is coming:

- This would be the same exact situation as if the client was not aware of damaging legislation introduction. The big difference is that all above strategy areas should already be constructed and ready to start implementing. Though rare that the opposition will share specific language, basic proponent bill language structures should be well understood and counter efforts ready to execute.

Through the committee process

- This is where client participation is crucial, just like in a proactive effort. Legislators need good reason to vote against a bill that their constituents might find beneficial. Legislators depend on us to make sure they are protected. Our information is extremely important and must be true in fact if we are to be an effective force. False information or

exaggerated arguments won't help, and could actually damage our desired end result. This is also where the lobbyist comes in. The votes should be counted going into committee so the client knows exactly what to expect. Depending on where the votes are, the messaging and strategy should be adjusted to fit the testimony. If the lobbyist is confident that the votes are there to kill the bill, testimony should be short, to the point and give enough cover for the legislators to feel comfortable voting no. If the votes are not there to kill the bill, testimony should be long, drawn out and painful so as to make sure legislators have as hard a time as possible voting no. Fill the room! Every committee hearing is different and unique so testimony strategy should be addressed appropriately and selectively.

Through the Committee of The Whole Process (The Floor)

- If the bill has made it this far than it's probably all hands on deck depending on how bad the bill is. This is where a "hard" vote count is most important. Having a team to divvy up the votes according to who has the best relationships, available capacity/capital, and political leverage is priority to make sure the bill's defeat is as likely as possible. This process takes time and energy. More important is a solid belief in coalition vote counting partners that the committed votes will stay committed.

Through the Governor's Office

- If all has failed in both chambers of the legislature the Governor is the last hope. Asking for a veto is a very difficult task. Getting a veto, depending on the Governor, can be an impossible quest. At this point the legislature has spoken and the Governor has real cover and no reason to veto. Best case scenario is that the public outcry is so convincing the Governor feels he/she has no choice, or an overt and obvious injustice has taken place throughout the legislative process rendering sufficient motivation for a veto.



71st General Assembly -			House
HD	Name	Party	Ranking
1	Susan Lontine	D	2 - State Affairs Vice Chair
2	Alec Garnett	D	3
3	Jeff Bridges	D	3
4	Dan Pabon	D	2 - Finance Chair
5	Crisanta Duran	D	1 - Speaker of the House
6	Chris Hansen	D	3
7	James Coleman	D	3
8	Leslie Herod	D	3
9	Paul Rosenthal	D	2 - Local Gov't Vice Chair
10	Edie Hooton	D	3
11	Jonathan Singer	D	2 - Public Health Chair
12	Mike Foote	D	2 - State Affairs Chair/Finance Vice Chair
13	KC Becker	D	1 - Majority Leader
14	Dan Nordberg	R	3
15	Dave Williams	R	3
16	Larry Liston	R	3
17	Tony Exum	D	3
18	Pete Lee	D	2 - Judiciary Chair
19	Paul Lundeen	R	3
20	Terri Carver	R	3
21	Lois Landgraf	R	3
22	Justin Everett	R	3
23	Chris Kennedy	D	3
24	Jessie Danielson	D	1 - Speaker Pro Tempore/Public Health Vice Chair
25	Tim Leonard	R	3
26	Diane Mitsch Bush	D	2 - Transportation & Energy Chair/Ag Vice Chair
27	Lang Sias	R	3
28	Brittany Pettersen	D	2 - Education Chair
29	Tracy Kraft Tharp	D	2 - Business Chair
30	Dafna Michaelson Jenet	D	3
31	Joe Salazar	D	2 - Judiciary Vice Chair
32	Adrienne Benavidez	D	3
33	Matt Gray	D	3
34	Steve Lebsock	D	2 - Local Gov't Chair
35	Faith Winter	D	1 - Assistant Majority Leader/Business Vice Chair/Transportation & Energy Vice Chair

36	Mike Weissman	D	3
37	Cole Wist	R	1 - Assistant Minority Leader
38	Susan Beckman	R	3
39	Polly Lawrence	R	3
40	Janet Buckner	D	2 - Education Vice Chair
41	Jovan Melton	D	
42	Dominique Jackson	D	3
43	Kevin Van Winkle	R	3
44	Kim Ransom	R	3
45	Patrick Neville	R	1 - Minority Leader
46	Daneya Esgar	D	2 - HIE Vice Chair
47	Clarice Navarro-Ratzlaff	R	3
48	Sephen Humphrey	R	3
49	Perry Buck	R	1 - Minority Whip
50	Dave Young	D	2 - Approps Chair
51	Hugh McKean	R	3
52	Joann Ginal	D	2 - HIE Chair
53	Jenni Arndt	D	2 - Ag Chair
54	Yeulin Willett	R	3
55	Dan Thurlow	R	3
56	Phillip Covarrubias	R	3
57	Bob Rankin	R	3
58	?	R	3
59	Barbara Hall McLachlan	D	3
60	Jim Wilson	R	3
61	Millie Hamner	D	2 - Approps Vice Chair
62	Donald Valdez	D	3
63	Lori Saine	R	1 - Minority Caucus Chair
64	Kimmi Lewis	R	3
65	Jon Becker	R	3
	Majority Caucus Chair?		
	Majority Whip?		
	JBC Chair?		

71st General Assembly			Senate
SD	Name	Party	Ranking
1	Jerry Sonnenberg	R	1 - President Pro Tempore/Ag Chair
2	Kevin Grantham	R	1 - President of the Senate
3	Leroy Garcia	D	2 - Assistant Minority Leader
4	Jim Smallwood	R	2 - HHS Chair
5	Kerry Donovan	D	3
6	Don Coram	R	3
7	Ray Scott	R	1 - Assistant Majority Leader/State Affairs Chair
8	Randy Baumgardner	R	2 - Transportation Chair/Ag Vice Chair
9	Kent Lambert	R	2 - Appropriations Vice Chair
10	Owen Hill	R	2 - Education Chair/Finance Vice Chair
11	Mike Merrifield	D	1 - Minority Whip
12	Bob Gardner	R	2 - Judiciary Chair/Local Gov't Vice Chair
13	John Cooke	R	1 - Majority Whip/Judiciary Vice Chair/Transportation Vice Chair
14	John Kefalas	D	3
15	Kevin Lundberg	R	2 - Appropriations Chair
16	Tim Neville	R	2 - Finance Chair/Business Vice Chair
17	Matt Jones	D	3
18	Rollie Heath	D	3
19	Rachel Zenzinger	D	3
20	Cheri Jahn	D	3
21	Dominick Moreno	D	3
22	Andy Kerr	D	3
23	Vicki Marble	R	1 - Majority Caucus Chair/State Affairs Vice Chair
24	Beth Martinez Humenik	R	2 - Local Gov't Chair/HHS Vice Chair
25	Kevin Priola	R	2 - Education Vice Chair
26	Daniel Kagan	D	3
27	Jake Tate	R	2 - Business Chair
28	Nancy Todd	D	3
29	Rhonda Fields	D	3
30	Chris Holbert	R	1 - Majority Leader
31	Lois Court	D	1 - Minority Caucus Chair
32	Irene Aguilar	D	3
33	Angela Williams	D	3
34	Lucia Guzman	D	1 - Minority Leader
35	Larry Crowder	R	3
	JBC Chair?		

Plan4Health
Update to Colorado APA
Kris Valdez, MURP, AICP & Katie Haas, MPH

Eagle County
COLORADO



LIVE PLAY EAT FEEL HEALTHY HERE

Coalition and Partner Relationships



**HEALTHY
COMMUNITIES
COALITION
OF EAGLE COUNTY**

- Eagle County Public Health and Environment
- Eagle County Planning Department
- Colorado State University Extension
- Eagle County Schools, Early Childhood Education
- Eagle River Youth Coalition
- EagleVail Community Garden
- Early Childhood Partners
- Health Links Colorado
- Mountain Harvest
- Youth Power 365
- Towns of Avon, Eagle, Gypsum, Minturn, Red Cliff, Vail
- Vail Valley Partnership
- Vail Valley Salvation Army
- Walking Mountains Science Center
- WECMRD Field House

LIVE PLAY EAT FEEL HEALTHY HERE

Project Strategies

Strategies	Increase understanding of HEAL policies: <i>conduct policy scan of Eagle River Valley Community Master Plans</i>	Increase messaging supporting policy and environmental changes: <i>pass resolutions that prioritize HEAL</i>	Increase health equity in municipal planning: <i>develop a collaborative system for authentic community engagement</i>
	Understanding of community's past and future priorities related to HEAL in community planning	Public promotion of the work communities are doing to support healthy community design	Understand current perceptions, priorities and challenges of municipalities for community engagement
Intended Outcomes	Provide recommendations for future Master Plan goals and support prioritization of HEAL policies and initiatives among municipalities	Ongoing support to municipalities from LiveWell and Healthy Communities Coalition for municipal HEAL initiatives	Toolkit, workshop or ?? to support future municipal community engagement efforts related to planning
			Community wide training about health equity, collaboration and community engagement

Work Completed To Date

- Intergovernmental agreements for Plan4Health project executed with six municipalities
- Policy scan of seven municipalities
- Subcontractor to support community engagement strategy
- Community engagement and health equity training



LIVE PLAY EAT FEEL HEALTHY HERE

Upcoming Activities



- Work with municipalities to pass resolutions
- Community engagement meeting with municipalities and community organizations
- Neighborhood navigators program

LIVE PLAY EAT FEEL HEALTHY HERE

Final Thoughts

- Relationships and creating a shared vision
- Create choices



LIVE PLAY EAT FEEL HEALTHY HERE

Questions?

Project Leads:

Katie Haas, MPH, Healthy Communities Coordinator

Katie.Haas@eaglecounty.us or 970.328.8855

Kris Valdez, MURP, AICP, Planner III

Kris.Valdez@eaglecounty.us or 970.328.8752

LIVE PLAY EAT FEEL HEALTHY HERE